

Published on Web 09/21/2004

Stereoselective Synthesis of Highly Substituted Δ^1 -Pyrrolines: *exo*-Selective 1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition Reactions with Azlactones

Satyamaheshwar Peddibhotla and Jetze J. Tepe*

Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48823

Received June 29, 2004; E-mail: tepe@cem.msu.edu

Scheme 1. Lewis Acid-Mediated Synthesis of Δ^1 -Pyrrolines

 Δ^1 -Pyrrolines are found in nature as biosynthetic intermediates and as a part of pheromones, alkaloids, steroids, hemes, and chlorophylls.¹ In addition to having a wide range of biological activities, Δ^1 -pyrrolines are important synthetic intermediates because they have three contiguous stereogenic centers and one prochiral center as part of a cyclic imine, which is amenable to further stereoselective synthetic manipulation with nucleophiles.² A stereoselective and efficient preparation of a general Δ^1 -pyrroline template would provide rapid access to a range of biologically active natural products including the myosmines, amathaspiramides, and kaitocephalin-type alkaloids.³ Although there have been several reports for the synthesis of simple Δ^1 -pyrrolines, cycloaddition reactions of münchnones and alkenes have not been fruitful as a synthetic method to generate these compounds.^{4,5} A few examples include the use of azomethine ylides or cyclopropanes to gain access to di- or trisubstituted Δ^1 -pyrrolines.⁶ However, the primary cycloadducts that are formed in the cycloaddition reaction with münchnones readily eliminate carbon dioxide, resulting in the formation of pyrroles, or isomerize to the Δ^2 -pyrroline, resulting in a loss of stereochemistry.^{5,7-9} A notable exception was reported by Padwa and co-workers who successfully isolated and characterized the primary adducts from intramolecular cycloadditions of münchnones to terminal alkenes.¹⁰ In addition, Turchi and coworkers described the isolation of a Δ^1 -pyrroline-5-carboxylic acid from the intermolecular cycloaddition of 1,2-dicyanocyclobutene to a münchnone.9 Our recently reported trimethylsilyl chloridemediated intermolecular 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of an in situ generated münchnone and imine yielded the primary imidazoline adduct containing a four-point diversity and two new stereogenic centers (Scheme 1).11 We report herein an exo-selective synthesis of highly substituted Δ^1 -pyrroline scaffolds from amino acid-derived münchnones. After screening a variety of Lewis acids12 we found that silver acetate successfully catalyzed the cycloaddition reaction of azlactones with alkenes, generating Δ^1 -pyrrolines in very good yields without isomerization to the Δ^2 -pyrrolines or decarboxylation to the corresponding pyrroles.8,13

The cycloaddition reactions proceed well with electron-deficient alkenes and 10 mol % silver acetate in THF at room temperature to provide the highly substituted Δ^1 -pyrrolines, often in good yields. Only the *exo* adducts of the Δ^1 -pyrrolines were observed with *cis*olefins as determined by NOE experiments and X-ray crystallography¹² in accordance with observations by other groups.¹⁴ However, *trans*-diethyl fumarate resulted in 2:1 ratio of *exo-/endo*diastereomers (entry 4, Table 1). Diethyl fumarate and diethyl maleate provided both a 3,4-*trans* relationship of the ethoxycarbonyl groups. This is likely the result of the isomerization of the 3,4-*cis*substituted Δ^1 -pyrroline obtained from diethyl maleate to the thermodynamically more stable 3,4-*trans* product through an intermediate enolate ion (Table 1, entry 2 versus 4).¹² The *exo* preference is in contrast to the *endo* preference observed in the synthesis of pyrrolidines from acyclic azomethine ylides.^{12,13} A







notable exception to this is the ligand-induced exo selectivity described by Komatsu.¹⁵

Acyclic azomethine ylides have been proposed to adopt a *syn* orientation in the prescence of a Lewis acid, whereas the münchnones are locked in *anti* orientation. This could be a possible basis for obtaining opposite diastereoselectivity in the resulting product for the same orientation of alkene.¹² Electronic effects were suggested to play a dominant role in favoring the *exo* product in intermolecular cycloaddition reactions.^{10,16} Turchi and co-workers have carried out extensive MO calculations to rationalize the stereochemical outcome.¹⁷ AM1 calculations for additions of 1,2-dicyanocyclobutene to münchnones indicated that the cycloaddition is a concerted but nonsynchronous process. Semiempirical calculations have not been successful in explaining the regiochemical and

Table 2. Variation of Substituents at 2- and 5- Positions



Scheme 2. Ring Opening and Isomerization of Cycloadducts

HŅ^{∠Ph} HN MeO DMAP °O MeOH 24 h ò CO, CO₂Me CO₂Me Ńе quant Ñе м 1 11 Ph HN^{-Ph} MeO₂C HN^{-Ph} DMAP `0 11 CO₂Me •CO₂Me MeOH 24 h °0 м́е м CO₂Me quant л 1 11 NHP DMAP Nucleophile CO2Me COR Pł THE м́е Μe % Yield Entry Nucleophile R 11 MeOH OMe 50 % 12 NHBn 76 % NH₂Bn

stereochemical outcome of cycloadditions with münchnones. Inspection of electrostatic isopotential surfaces, however, revealed that the *exo* transition state shows attractive stabilizing interactions between the CH–NH–CH portion of dipole and the nitrile groups.¹² In fact, it was found that the *exo* adduct is also electrostatically favored over the *endo* adduct.¹⁷ This may provide a rationale for the formation of the *exo* cycloadduct with this in situ generated münchnone–alkene cycloaddition reaction.

Efforts to introduce alkyl or aryl substituents in the 4-position with crotonic or cinnamic esters were not successful. Electron-rich alkenes such as vinyl trifluoroacetate were also unreactive in the cycloaddition with münchnones under these conditions. Good yields were obtained with benzyl and indolylmethyl substituted azlactones (entries 7 and 8, Table 2). The 2-position on the Δ^1 -pyrroline scaffold is also amenable to different substituents including the 2-methyl and 2-benzyl (entries 9 and 10, Table 2). The phenyl glycine-derived azlactone reacted with diethyl maleate to afford the Δ^1 -pyrroline in a modest 15% yield (entry 3, Table 1) and was unreactive toward maleimide (entry 6, Table 2).

Isomerization of the bicyclic *cis* Δ^1 -pyrroline carboxylate to the thermodymanically more stable ring-opened *trans* product can be accomplished upon exposure of the cycloadduct to mild base. Of the various bases screened to convert **1** to **11**, DMAP resulted in clean conversion and afforded a 50:50 mixture of **1** and **11** in quantitative yields. Treatment of the isolated 3,4-*trans*- Δ^1 - pyrroline methyl ester (**11**) with DMAP in methanol re-established the 1:1 ratio of **1** and **11**, indicating that the interconversion of **1** and **11**

most likely proceeds via a common ring-opened ketene intermediate (Scheme 2). We were successful in selectively trapping the ketene intermediate with benzylamine to afford the *trans* amide in good yield (entry 12, Scheme 2). This selective ring-opening reaction provides another opportunity to expand on the stereochemical diversity of the scaffolds.

In conclusion, we have developed a diastereoselective and efficient synthesis of highly substituted Δ^1 -pyrroline-5-carboxylic acid scaffolds via a silver(I)-catalyzed [3 + 2] cycloaddition reaction. The *exo* selectivity complements the *endo*-selective cycloaddition of related acyclic azomethine ylides very well. Diastereocontrol can be extended to the three stereogenic centers by appropriate choice of substrates and isolation conditions.

Acknowledgment. We gratefully acknowledge funds from the American Cancer Society and Michigan State University. We thank Prof. A. Odom and Rui; H. Huang for helpful discussions and X-ray crystallography.

Supporting Information Available: Full experimental protocols, crystallographic tables, and characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (a) Miltyk, W.; Palka, J. A. Comp. Biochem. Physiol., A 2000, 125, 265– 271. (b) Stapon, A.; Li, R.; Townsend, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8486-8493.
- (2) (a) Shvekhgeimer, M.-G. A. Chem. Heterocycl. Cmpd. 2003, 39, 405–448. (b) Goti, A.; Cicchi, S.; Mannucci, V.; Cardona, F.; Guarna, F.; Merino, P.; Tejero, T. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4235–4238. (c) Lombardo, M.; Fabbroni, S.; Trombini, C. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 1264–1268. (d) Clerici, F.; Gelmi, M. L.; Pocar, D.; Rondena, R. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 9985–9994.
- (3) (a) Kleinsasser, N. H.; Wallner, B. C.; Harreus, U. A.; Zwickenpflug,
 W.; Richter, E. *Toxicology* 2003, *192*, 171–177. (b) Hughes, C. C.;
 Trauner, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, *41*, 4556–4559. (c) Ma, D.;
 Yang, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, *123*, 9706–9707.
- (4) (a) Padwa, A., Ed. 1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition Chemistry; Wiley: New York, 1984. (b) Gribble, G. W. Mesoionic Ring Systems. In Oxazoles: Synthesis, Reactions and Spectroscopy; The Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds, Vol. 60, Part A; Palmer, D. C., Ed.; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 2002; pp 681–753.
- (5) Padwa, A.; Pearson, W. Synthetic Applications of Dipolar Cycloaddition Chemistry towards Heterocyclic and Natural Products; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2002.
- (6) (a) Kercher, T.; Livinghouse, T. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 805–812. (b) Campos, P. J.; Soldevilla, A.; Sampedro, D.; Rodriguez, M. A. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 4087–4089. (c) Pearson, W. H.; Stevens, E. P. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 9812–9827. (d) Harwood: L. M.; Vickers, R. J. Chem. Heterocycl. Cmpd. 2002, 59, 169–252. (d) Yu, M.; Pagenkopf, B. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8122–8123.
- (7) (a) Huisgen, R.; Gotthardt, H.; Bayer, H. O. Chem. Ber. 1970, 103, 2368–2387. (b) Gotthardt, H.; Huisgen, R.; Schaefer, F. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1964, 10, 487–491. (c) Huisgen, R.; Gotthardt, H.; Bayer, H. O. Tetrahedron Lett. 1964, 9, 481–485.
- (8) Grigg, R.; Lansdell, M. I.; Thornton-Pett, M. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 2025– 2044.
- (9) Maryanoff, C. A.; Karash, C. B.; Turchi, I. J. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 3790–3792.
- (10) Padwa, A.; Gingrich, H. L.; Lim, R. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 2447– 2456.
- (11) (a) Peddibhotla, S.; Jayakumar, S.; Tepe, J. J. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3533–3535. (b) Peddibhotla, S.; Tepe, J. J. Synthesis 2003, 9, 1433–1440.
- (12) See Supporting Information.
- (13) Longmire, J. M.; Wang, B.; Zhang, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13400-13401.
- (14) (a) Martel, S. R.; Wisedale, R.; Gallagher, T.; Hall, L. D.; Mahon, M. F.; Bradbury, R. H.; Hales, N. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 2309–2310.
 (b) Brown, D.; Brown, G. A.; Martel, S. R.; Planchenault, D.; Turmes, E.; Walsh, K. E.; Wisedale, R.; Hales, N. J.; Fishwich, C. W. G.; Gallagher, T. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **2001**, 1270–1280.
- (15) Oderaotoshi, Y.; Cheng, W.; Fujitomi, S.; Kasano, Y.; Minakata, S.; Komatsu, M. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 5043–5046.
- (16) Padwa, A.; Lim, R.; MacDonald, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3816–3823.
- (17) Maryanoff, C. A.; Turchi, I. J. Heterocycles 1993, 35, 649-657.
 - JA046149I